

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 KEVIN DAVID ROBINSON,

11 Petitioner,

2:03-cv-2180-GEB-JFM-P

12 vs.

13 THOMAS L. CAREY, Warden, et al.,

14 Respondents.

ORDER

15 _____/
16 Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed this application for a writ
17 of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The matter was referred to a United States
18 Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local General Order No. 262.


19 On May 8, 2007, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations herein
20 which were served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that any objections to
21 the findings and recommendations were to be filed within twenty days. Petitioner has filed
22 objections to the findings and recommendations.

23 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 72-
24 304, this court has conducted a de novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire
25 file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by
26 proper analysis.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

1. The findings and recommendations filed May 8, 2007, are adopted in full; and
2. Petitioner's application for a writ of habeas corpus is denied.

Dated: June 12, 2007


GARLAND E. BURRELL, JR.
United States District Judge